

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/651,912	HENG ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John B. Vigushin	2841	

All Participants:

(1) John B. Vigushin.

(2) Chen Liang (Applicant's Attorney).

Status of Application: Rejected in FAOM

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 January 2006
Time: 1:28 PM (EST)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

34, 35

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An insertion error in Claim 34 and a change in limitation in Claim 35 were discussed and the respective corrections by Examiner's Amendment were approved by Mr. Liang.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHN VIGUSHIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)